

### आयुक्त(अपील )का कार्यालय, Office of the Commissioner (Appeal),

केंद्रीय चीएसटी, अपील आयुक्तालय,अहमदाबाद Central GST, Appeal Commissionerate, Ahmedabad चीएसटी भवन, राजस्ट्रमार्ग, अन्बवाड़ीअहमदाबाद२८००१५, CQST Bhavan, Revenue Marg, Ambawadi, Ahmedabad 380015 0792503065 - टेरोकेक्स07926305156



# DIN: 20231164SW00000D16B

## स्पीड पोस्ट

क फाइल संख्या : File No : GAPPL/COM/STP/4381/2023 ( १७०५ - १३४५)

ख अपील आदेश संख्या Order-In-Appeal No. AHM-EXCUS-001-APP-175/2023-24 विनॉक Date: 28-11-2023 जारी करने की तारीख Date of Issue 30.11.2023

आयुक्त (अपील) द्वारा पारित Passed by Shri Gyan Chand Jain, Commissioner (Appeals)

- Arising out of OIO No. MP/298/DC/Div-IV/2022-23 R=해한 10.03.2023 passed by The Deputy Commissioner, CGST, Division-IV, Ahmedabad South.
- ध अपीलकर्ता का नामए यें पत्ता Name & Address

Appellant N/s. Sapanbhai Mayurbhai Parikh, Sapan Associates, 9, Rajdhani Bunglows, Rameadi, Isanpur, Ahmedabad-382443.

योई व्य क्ति इस अमेल आदेश से असंतोष अनुमय करता है तो यह इस आदेश के प्रति यथास्थिति भीचे बताए गए सक्षम अधिकारी को अपील या पुनरीक्षण आवेदन प्रस्तुत कर सकता है।

Any person aggrioved by this Order-In-Appeal may file an appeal or revision application, as the one may be against such order, to the appropriate authority in the following way :

भारत सरकार का पुनरीक्षण आवेदन

### Revision application to Government of India:

() केन्द्रीय उत्पादन गुरुक अमिनियन, 1994 की घांच अतत नीचे बताए गए मामतों के बारे में पूजीसत घांच को उप-बाय के प्रकार परक्ता किंता में प्रजीसन प्रावस किंता में प्रजीसन प्रावस मिंगान प्रावस किंता में प्रजीस प्रावस मिंगान प्रावस किंता में प्रावस प्रावस किंता, जीवन प्रावस प्रावस किंता, जीवन प्रावस स्वार करां के की जानी घांछि?।

(i) A revision application lies to the Under Secretary, to the Govt. of India, Revision Application Unit Ministry of Finance, Department of Revenue, 4<sup>th</sup> Floor, Jeavan Deep Building, Parliament Street, New Delhi - 110.001 under Section SSEE of the CEA 1944 in respect of the following case, governed by first proviso to sub-sociation (1) of Section-35 link :

(0) यदि माल की हानि के मामले में जब ऐसी हानिकार खाने से किसी वन्द्रागर या उत्त्य कारखाने में या किसी पत्र्यागर से दूसरे पत्र्यागर में माल के लाजे हुए मार्ग में, या किसी मण्डागर या मण्डार में चाडे यह किसी कारण्डाने में या किसी मण्डागर में के माल की प्रक्रिया के दौरान हुई थे।

(ii) In case of any loss of goods where the loss cocur in transit from a factor (of warehouse or to another factory or from one warehouse to another during the course of processing of the globals in a warehouse or in storage whether in a factory or in a warehouse.

- (8) भारत के बाहर किसो राष्ट्र या प्रदेश में निर्वातित नाल पर या नाल के विनिर्माण में जपयोग जुल्क कच्चे माल पर जत्पादन शुरुक के रिवेट के नामलें में जो मारत के बाहर किसी राष्ट्र या प्रदेश में निर्यातित है।
- (A) In case of rebate of duty of excise on goods exported to any country or territory outside India of on exclashle material used in the manufacture of the goods which are exported to any country or territory outside India.
- (a) यदि शुल्क का भुगतान किए बिना भारत के बाहर (नेपाल या भूटान को) निर्यात किया गया माल हो।
- (B) In case of goods exported outside India export to Nepal or Bhutan, without payment of duty.

अंतिम जरपादन की जरपादन शुरूक के नुरातान के लिए जो डकूटी केविट मान्य की गई है और ऐसे वादेश जो इस वाल एवं नियम के नुराविक आयुरल, अपील के हाथ पारित वो समय पर या बाद में विरत अधिनियम (नं2) 1998 बात 108 हाल नियुक्त किए गए के।

- (c) Credit of any duty allowed to be utilized towards payment of excise duty on final products under the provisions of this Act or the Rules made there under and such order is passed by the Commissioner (Appeals) on or after, the date appointed under Sec.109 of the Finance (No.2) Act. 1988.
- (1) अंभरीय उत्पादन मुरुष (वारील) नियमावली, 2021 के नियम 9 के आंगरी विनिर्दिष्ट प्रयम संख्या सूर-9 में रो प्रतियों में, मेरिल आरंत के प्रति आरंत संबंध विगिक से तीन माल के नीतलपुत-आरंत एवं अपील आरंत की पे-भा तीरायों के खार परिक आरंतन दिवा यात्रा वार्डिए एकले राम वादा इस मुरुष सोरी के अंगर्लर सारा 30-इ में निवासित की के दुगतान के सबूरा के सबर टीआर-5 मालतम की प्रति में सेनी मालेगा.

The above application shall be made in duplicate in Form No. EA-8 as specified under Rule, 64 Central Excise (Appendix Rules, 2001 within 3 month from the date on which the order sought to the CIO and Order-Acpeal. It should also be accompanied by a copy of TR4 Challan evidencing payment of preacribed tee as prescribed under SEE et CEA, 1944, under Major Head of Account.

(2) থিবিঅন আখবন ক্র साथ কটা संतग्न रळम एक साख रूपये या उससे क्रम होतो रूपये 200/--फीस भगतान की आए और पाईँ तंत्रनगरकम एक साख से ज्यादा हो तो 1000/- की फीस मुगतान की जाए।

The revision application shall be accompanied by a fee of Rs.200/- where the amount involved is Rupees One Lac or less and Rs.1,000/- where the amount involved is more than Rupees One Lac.

. सीमा गुरुक, केन्द्रीय उत्पादन जुरुक एवं सेवा कर अनीलीय न्यायाधिकरण के प्रति अपील≻ Appeal to Custom, Excise, & Service Tax Appellate Tribunal.

केन्द्रीय उत्पादन शुल्क अधिनियम, 1944 की बारा 35--बी/35--इ के अंतर्गत>-

Under Section 35B/ 35E of CEA, 1944 an appeal lies to :-

- (ल) उम्हालिखित परिष्ठेद 2 (1) क में बताए अनुसार के अलाव की वणील, अपीलो के मामले में सीमा शुरुक, केन्द्रीय उत्पादन मुल्क एवं संसावन वाधीसीय न्यावाधिकत्न<u>[सिस्टेट]</u> की पश्चिम क्षेत्रीय पीठिका, अस्मदाबाद भ 2<sup>nd</sup> माला, बहुमाली भवन , असरवा, गिरधरनागर, अहमदाबाद–30004
- (a) To the west regional bench of Customs, Excise & Service Tax Appellate Tribunal (CESTAT) at 2<sup>rd</sup> Floor,Bahumail Bhawan, Asarwa, Girdhar Nagar, Ahmedabad: 380004. In case of appeals other than as mentioned in part-20() as above.



The appeal to the Appealance Triburnal shall be filed in quadruplicate in form EA-3 as prosmbler under Rules 6 of Central Excluse/Appeal Rules, 2001 and shall be accompanied apaints (one which at least should be accompanied by a fee of Re1,1000-, where smouth of duty / peand / relative filed and the state hourd be accompanied by a fee of Re1,1000-, Re3,000- and Re3 mount of duty / peand / relative filed be accompanied by a fee of Re1,1000-, where smouth of duty / peand / relative filed be accompanied by a fee of Re1,1000-, where smouth of duty / peand / relative filed be accompanied by a fee of Re1,1000- where the bench of any nominate public sector bank of the place where the bench of the Tribunal is stuted.

(3) यदि इस आवेदा में कई मूलं आदेवों का समादेवा होता है तो प्रत्येक मूल ओदवा के लिए जीत का मुरातान उपर्युत्वत वंग से किया जाना चाहिए इस सच्च के होता हुए भी कि लिखा पढी कार्य से स्वाने के लिए प्रयारोटवेली अमेशीय न्यायांकिल्टना के एक उस्तीत या केन्द्रीय स्वारुत के एक जायेदन किया जाता है।

In case of the order covers a number of order-in-Original, fee for each O.I.O. should be paid in the aforesaid manner not withstanding the fact that the one appeal to the Appellant Tribunal or the one application to the Central Gort. As the case may be, is filled to avoid scriptoria work if excising Rs. 1 lacs fee of Rs.100/- for each.

(4) न्यायालय दुरक्षअभिनियन १९७० यथालंकोषित की अनुसूर्घ=1 के अंतर्गत निर्धारित किए अनुसार उसत आदेदन या मुस्लाधरेव यथालियी निर्पापन प्राविकरी के आदेश में से प्रयोक की एक प्रतिपर क.650 मैसे कार्यायालय प्रकृत टिकट त्या होना चाहिए।

One copy of application or O.I.O. as the case may be, and the order of the adjournment authority shall a court fee stamp of Rs.6.50 paise as prescribed under scheduled-I item of the court fee Act; 1975 as amended.

(5) इन ओर संबंधित मामलों को नियंत्रन करने वाले नियमों की ओर भी ध्यान आकर्षित किया जाता है जो सीता मुरक, केन्द्रीय उत्पादन मुल्क इस संवाकर अप्रैलिंग यायाधिकरन (कार्याविंगे) नियम, 1982 में निरित है।

Attention is invited to the rules covaring these and other related matter contended in the Customs, Excise & Service Tax Appellate Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1982.

> 19 सीना सुरुक, केन्द्रीय उत्पादन सुरुक एवं सेवाकर अभीलीय न्यायाधिकरण<u>(सिस्टेट)</u>, के प्रतिअमीतो के मामले में कर्डवाम्मंग्राDennand) एवं दंड(Penniky) का 10% पूर्व जमा करना अनिवार्य है। हालांकि, अधिकत्वन मूर्व प्रभा 10 करोड़ रूपर है (Section 35 F of the Central Excise Act, 1944, Section 35 & Section 86 of the Finance Act, 1994)

केन्द्रीय उत्पाद शुल्क और सेवाकर के अंतर्गत, सामिल होगा "कर्तव्य की मांग"(Duty Demanded)-

- a. (Section) खंड 11D के तहत निर्धारित सबि;
- चयः लिया गलत सेनवैट क्रेडिट की राशिः
- बण सेनवैट क्रेडिट नियमों के नियम 6 के तहत देय राशि.

यह पूर्व जमा 'लंबित अपील' में पहले पूर्व जमा की तुलना में, अपील' चाखिल करने के लिए पूर्व वार्त बना दिया गया के

For an appeal to be filed before the CESTAT, 10% of the Duty & Penalty confirmed by the Appellate Commissioner would have to be pre-deposited, provided that the predopoilt amount shall not exceed Rs. 10 Corres. It may be noted that the pre-deposit as mandatory condition for filing appeal before CESTAT. (Section 35 C (A) and 35 F of the Central Excee Act, 194, Section 53 S section 36 of the Pranet Act, 1949).

Under Central Excise and Service Tax, "Duty demanded" shall include:

- (i) amount determined under Section 11 D;
- (ii) amount of erroneous Cenvat Credit taken;
  - iii) amount payable under Rule 6 of the Cenvat Credit Rules.

प्राण प्राधिकरण के समय बहाँ सुरक बयबा सुरक या दरहा है। तो माँग किए गए मुल्क के 10% दूस आदेव के प्रति अपील प्राधिकरण के समय बहाँ सुरक बयबा सुरक या दरहा दिवावित हो तो माँग किए गए मुल्क के 10% भूगतान पर और चहाँ केवल दरपड विवादित हो खद दण्ड के 10% भुगतान पर की खा सकती है।

In view of above, an appeal against this order shall lie before the Triburgi on payment of 10% of the duty demanded where duty or duty and penalty are in dispute or penalty, where nealty alone is in dispute."

-3-

#### ORDER IN APPEAL

The present appeal has been filed by M/s. Sapambhai Mayurbhai Parikh, Sapan Associates, 9, Rajdhani Bunglows, Ramwadi, Isanpur, Ahmedabad-382 443 (hereinafter referred to as 'the Appellantss') against Order in Original No. MP/298/DC/Div.-IV/22-23 dated 10.03.2023 [hereinafter referred to as 'the impugned order'] passed by the Deputy Commissioner, Central GST, Division-IV (Narol), Ahmedabad South (hereinafter referred to as 'the adjudicating authority').

Briefly stated, the facts of the case are that the 2. Appellants were holding Service Tax Registration No. ANFPP4361FSD001. On scrutiny of the data received from the Central Board of Direct Taxes (CBDT), it was noticed that the Appellants had declared less gross value in their Service Tax Returns (ST-3) for the F.Y. 2015-16 and F.Y. 2016-17 as compared to the gross value declared by them in their Income Tax Return (ITR)/TDS Returns. Accordingly, it appeared that the Appellants had mis-declared the gross value of sales of service in the service tax returns and short paid /not paid the applicable service tax. The Appellants were called upon to submit copies of relevant documents for assessment for the said period. However, the Appellants neither submitted any required details/documents explaining the reason for the difference raised between gross value declared in ST-3 Returns and Income Tax Return (ITR)/TDS nor responded to the letter in any manner. Therefore, the Appellants were issued Show Cause Notice wherein it was proposed to:

a) Demand and recover an amount of Rs. 7,37,266/- under proviso to Sub Section (1) of Section 73 of the Finance Act, 1994; along with interest under section 75 of the



Finance Act 1994 (hereinafter referred to as 'the Act').

- Impose penalty under the provisions of Section 77 (1) & 77(2) and 78 of the Act.
- The SCN was adjudicated ex-parte vide the impugned order wherein:
- The demand of service tax amounting to Rs. 7,37,266/was confirmed along with interest.
- Penalty amounting to Rs. 7,37,266/- was imposed under section 78(I) of the Act.
- Penalty amounting to Rs. 10,000/- was imposed under section 77(2) of the Act.
- Penalty as applicable on the Appellants under section 77(1) of the Act.
- Being aggrieved with the impugned order passed by the adjudicating authority, the Appellants have preferred the present appeal on the following grounds:
- ➤ The Appellants are engaged in the business of providing taxable service of "advertising agency service". The advertising agency entered into contract with their clients for providing "advertisement agency service". The Advertising agent" render 'advertising agency service' to various clients in the form of creative agency wherein, they create advertisement by themselves or their third party media agency wherein, they do media printing and/or buying for advertisement to be published in print/electronio media. They are receiving 15% Agency Commission from authorized Broadcasting and Print media.
- In the present case the Print media or authorized broadcasting media gives a discount of 15% to the Advertising agency. If the tariff rate is Rs. 100/- it is

sufficient the Advertising Agency pay the media R8. 85/along with applicable Service Tax. The Advertising Agency has not received any amount from the media nor has the media paid any consideration to the Advertising Agency. The Appellants has only availed the discount of 15 % as Commission.

- The advertisement can be done in various ways either through Print media or through Radio or Television, etc. In order to fulfill the requirement of his client the advertising agency i.e. the Appellants gets in touch with the appropriate media. In other words as far as the advertising agency is concerned its client is not the media. In this case the client of the advertising agency is service receiver and the advertising agency is service provider. This aspect can be further supported with the fact that it is only the client who is deducting the TDS under the Income Tax Act. The media such as broadcasting agency charges the advertising agency for insertion of the advertisement either in Print Media or in Television.
  - In the instant case the "Advertising Agent" has purchased the Space or Time Slot for Advertisement from the Media. Hence no service is rendered by the Advertising Agency to the media.
- Now for the calculation of Service Tax, the gross amount received by the 'Advertising agency' (Service provider) from its client will be the value of the taxable service. Moreover, as the consideration (gross amount) received by the 'Advertising agency' has been shown as an Income in the Profit & Loss A/o is sufficient to be charged with Service tax on the entire consideration received. The argument of the 'Advertising agency' that the amount of 85 percent which it pays to the Media for



the purchase of SPACE or TIME SLOT (as the case may be) is claimed as the reimbursement of the expenditure made by them is nullified as the said consideration has been shown as an income in the Profit & Loss A/c. The exemption from the payment of service tax is plausible under the "reimbursement" concept where the 'Advertising agency' fulfills all of the stipulations prescribed for the "pure agent" under Service Tax (Determination of Valuation) Rules, 2006. Other than the above, if the 'advertising agency' receives any consideration from the Media as a Commission for arranging/finding Customers for the Media in relation to their Sale of SPACE or TIME-SLOT (as the case may be), the said consideration amount received by the 'Advertising Agency' is also liable to Service Tax under the Taxable services of "business auxiliary service".

The activity of the media is selling of Space or Time Slots for advertisement, which is classified under 105(zzzzm) of Section 65 of the Act; on the other hand the activity of the "Advertising Agency" is to make necessary arrangements to have the matter of its client advertised in the media. The Appellants relied on the following case laws: (1) The Hon'ble CESTAT, Ahmedabad in the matter of M/s Drishty Communication Pvt. Ltd. v. CCE & ST-Rajkot [Service Tax Appeal No. 135 of 2012 dtd. 05th January, 2023], (2) Euro Rscg, Advertising Ltd. and ...... CCE on 27th December, 2006 Equivalent citations: 2007 9 STJ 56 CESTAT Bangalore, 2007 7 STR 277, (3) Grev Worldwide (I) Pvt. Ltd. v. Commissioner of Service Tax on 30th July, 2014 in the Hon'ble CESTAT, West Zonal Bench at Mumbai, Appeal No. ST/300 & 325/09.

ax on amount

The Appellants have already paid

Þ

received from clients/customers, in over business 15% on amount received from customers, commission on selling of printing slot.

۶

The demand of interest and penalty is not sustainable in view of unsustainability of the demand of input tax credit. Service tax has not been payable as the department could not prove the allegation with the support of any corroborative evidences.

 Personal Hearing in the case was held on 09.11.2023.
Shri Dhavai Movailya, C.A., appeared on behalf of the Appellants for the hearing. He reiterated the contents of the written submissions and requested to allow their appeal.

6. The Appellants have submitted following documents (A) copy of Income Tax Return, (B) copy of P & L Account and Balance Sheet and copy of ledger summary in respect of F.Y. 2015-16 and F.Y. 2016-17, (C) copy of ST-3 Returns and sample invoices issued to various clients and copy of invoice received from the Times Group (D) copy of Form 26AS for F.Y. 2015-15 and F.Y. 2015-17.

7. I have gone through the facts of the case, submission made in the Appeal Memorandum, the submission made at the time of personal hearing and oral submissions made at the time of personal hearing. The issue before me for decision is whether the impugned order passed by the adjudicating authority confirming demand of service tax amount of Rs. 7,37,266/- along with interest and penalties, considering the facts and circumstances of the case, is legal and proper or otherwise. The dispute pertains to the period F.Y 2015-16 & F.Y 2016-17.

 It is noticed that in the instant case the Appellants holding Service tax registration No. ANFPP4361FSD001 are



engaged in providing taxable services of Advertising Agency Service. The taxable service in respect of advertising agency is defined in Section 65 (105) (e) in the following manner:

to a client, by an advertising agency in relation to advertisement in any manner.

9 In the present case a person or an organization who wants to advertise their product approaches an advertising agency. Therefore such a person / organization who want to avail the services of advertising agency become the client of the advertising agency. This aspect can be further supported with the fact that it is only the client who is deducting the TDS under the Income Tax Act. The advertisement can be done in various ways either through Print Media or through Radio or Television, etc. In order to fulfill the requirements of his client the advertising agency which is the service provider gets in touch with the appropriate media. In other words as far as the advertising agency is concerned, its client is not the media. In order to provide advertising agency service the Appellants charge certain amounts from their clients, which is inclusive of amount that has to be paid to media for insertion of the advertisement either in Print Media or in Television. The Appellants have demonstrated by the given example as shown under that they have received income only to the extent of around 15% from the media in the form of discount-

If the tariff rate is Rs. 100/- the media charges Rs. 85/- and the Appellants get Rs. 15/- towards discounts, which is an actual income in the hand of the Appellants and on that amount they discharge service tax received from their clients.

 However, on going through the impugned order which was issued ex-parte, the adjudicating authority has neither considered the factual position nor the deglity of the entire



issue and demanded service tax on the whole amount received by the Appellants from the service provided by them on the basis of mere data collected from income Tax Return without excluding the amount which was paid to media for the purchase of space or Time Slot. The demand of service tax confirmed by the addividenting authority is shown as under:

Period	"Value difference in ITR and STR"	Total rate of duty	Amount of Service Tax not paid
2015-16	21,50,497	14.5%	3,11,822
2016-17	28,36,294	15%	4,25,444
		Total	7,37,266

11. I find that the Appellants had paid service tax for the impugned period and also filed service tax Return. On the basis of data received from Service tax Returns (ST-3) submitted by the Appellants the details of taxable amount and service tax paid by the Appellants in the respective period is shown as under-

F.Y. 2015-16				
Period	Taxable amount	Service Tax paid		
April-September	2,06,301	28,800		
October-March	1,88,178	26,486		
Total	3,94,479	55,286		
	F.Y. 2016-17			
Period	Taxable amount	Service Tax paid		
April-September	1,55,087	23,262		
October-March	3,74,339	56,149		
Total	5,29,426	79,411		

12. In view of the above findings, the impugned order has no merits. Since the demand of service tax is not sustainable on merits there does not arise any question of interest or penalty in the matter.

 Accordingly, in view of my foregoing discussions, I set aside the impugned order passed by the adjudicating

authority for being not legal and proper and allow the appeal filed by the Appellants.

14. अपीलकर्ता द्वारा दायर अपील का निपटान उपरोक्त तरीके से किया जाता है।

The appeal filed by the Appellants stands disposed of in above terms.

8-11-24

आनघद जन आयुक्त (अपील्स) Dated: # ¥.11.2023



Appellants

9, Rajdhani Bunglows, Ramwadi Isanpur, Ahmedabad-382 443 The Deputy Commissioner

mar

સી.जી.પૈસ.ટી, अहमदाबाद BY RPAD/ SPEED POST To M/s. Sapanbhai Mavurbhai Parikh.

Sapan Associates.

Respondent

Division-IV (Narol), CGST & Central Excise Ahmedabad South

#### Copy to :

- 1. The Principal Chief Commissioner, Central GST, Ahmedabad Zone
- 2. The Commissioner, CGST, Ahmedabad South
- 3. The Deputy Commissioner, Division -IV, Central GST, Ahmedabad South.
- The Assistant Commissioner (HQ System), CGST, Ahmedabad South (for uploading the OIA)
- 5. Guard File
  - 6. PA file

